SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

JOHN C. McCULLOCH

87-5170

UNITED STATES

JERRY HERBERT JONES

87-5266

UNITED STATES

ON PETITIONS FOR WRITS OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

Nos. 87-5170 AND 87-5266. Decided November 9, 1987

. The petitions for writs of certiorari are denied.

JUSTICE WHITE, with whom JUSTICE BRENNAN joins, dissenting.

In March, 1981, petitioners were tried for their participation in an interstate network that duplicated and distributed unauthorized reproductions of copyrighted sound recordings-so-called "pirated" tape recordings. At the conclusion of their trial, the petitioners were convicted of numerous counts of interstate transportation of pirated tapes (18 U. S. C. §2314), conspiracy to violate the copyright laws. and wire fraud. Also, using these substantive convictions as "predicate acts," the Government successfully prosecuted petitioners for conducting (and conspiring to conduct) a racketeering enterprise in violation of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO). 18 U. S. C. §§ 1962(e) and (d).

Subsequently, in Dowling v. United States, 473 U. S. 207 (1985), this Court held that criminal penalties could not be imposed for interstate transportation of pirated tapes under 18 U. S. C. §2314. As a result, petitioners initiated this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255, to have their convictions set aside. The district court vacated the convictions under § 2314, but refused to alter petitioners' convictions for wire fraud or the RICO violations. Cooper v. United States,

639 F. Supp. 176 (M. D. Fla. 1986). The Court of Appeals affirmed in a judgment order, relying on the district court's opinion. App. to Petn. 87-5170 A-2.

These petitions present the question of whether a RICO conviction may stand when some—but not all—of a defendant's convictions for the predicate acts which are the basis of his RICO conviction are vacated. Here, the district court vacated six of petitioner McCulloch's eleven predicate-act convictions, and six of petitioner Jones' fourteen convictions. Cooper, supra, 639 F. Supp., at 187. The jury's verdict on the RICO counts did not indicate which of these various predicate acts formed the basis on which it found "a pattern of racketeering activity." 18 U. S. C. § 1962(c). The district court allowed the RICO convictions to stand.

The courts below followed a prior decision of the Fifth Circuit, United States v. Peacock, 654 F. 2d 339 (CA5 1981), cert. denied, 464 U. S. 965 (1983). There, the Fifth Circuit vacated several convictions for predicate acts committed by three RICO defendants, but concluded that where "each of the appellants [was properly] convicted of at least two racketeering acts which were related to the . . . enterprise," their RICO convictions remained valid. Peacock, supra, 654 F. 2d, at 248. The Fifth Circuit recognized that this holding was in conflict with an opposing conclusion reached in United States v. Brown, 583 F. 2d 659 (CA3 1978), cert. denied, 440 U. S. 909 (1979), where the Third Circuit reversed two defendants' RICO convictions when two of their four convictions for predicate acts were found to be invalid. Brown, supra, 583 F. 2d, at 669. The Seventh and the Ninth Circuits have recognized this conflict, but have declined to adopt either position to date. See United States v. Anderson, 809 F. 2d 1281, 1284-1285 (CA7 1987); United States v. Lopez, 803 F. 2d 969, 976 (CA9 1986), cert. denied, — U. S. — (1987).

Because of the disagreement and uncertainty among the Courts of Appeals over the proper application of this important federal criminal statute, I would grant certiorari to resolve the conflict.